UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK								Pag
DEDRA DE LA ROSA,  Plaintiff,  -against-  597 BROADWAY DEVELOPMENT CORP. AND GSM (RETAIL), INC.,  Defendants.	UNITED ST.	ATES DIS	STRICT CO	URT				
DEDRA DE LA ROSA,  Plaintiff,  -against-  597 BROADWAY DEVELOPMENT CORP. AND GSM (RETAIL), INC.,  Defendants.	SOUTHERN :	DISTRICT	OF NEW	YORK	ζ			
Plaintiff,  -against-  597 BROADWAY DEVELOPMENT CORP. AND GSM (RETAIL), INC.,  Defendants.								-x
-against-  597 BROADWAY DEVELOPMENT CORP. AND GSM (RETAIL), INC.,  Defendants.	DEDRA DE	LA ROSA,						
597 BROADWAY DEVELOPMENT CORP. AND GSM (RETAIL), INC.,  Defendants.  Oral deposition of JIMMY ZUEHL, taken pursuant to Notice, was held at the Law Offices of SCHLAM STONE & DOLAN, LLP, 26 Broadway, New York, New York, commencing October 21, 2014, 10:10 a.m., on the above date, before Amanda McCredo, a Court Reporter and Notary Public in the State of New York			P	lain	ntiff	,		
Defendants.  Oral deposition of JIMMY ZUEHL, taken pursuant to Notice, was held at the Law Offices of SCHLAM STONE & DOLAN, LLP, 26 Broadway, New York, New York, commencing October 21, 2014, 10:10 a.m., on the above date, before Amanda McCredo, a Court Reporter and Notary Public in the State of New York		- <i>a</i>	ıgainst-					
Oral deposition of JIMMY ZUEHL, taken pursuant to Notice, was held at the Law Offices of SCHLAM STONE & DOLAN, LLP, 26 Broadway, New York, New York, commencing October 21, 2014, 10:10 a.m., on the above date, before Amanda McCredo, a Court Reporter and Notary Public in the State of New York		NAY DEVE	CLOPMENT	CORP	P. AN	D GSM	(RET	AIL),
Oral deposition of JIMMY ZUEHL, taken pursuant to Notice, was held at the Law Offices of SCHLAM STONE & DOLAN, LLP, 26 Broadway, New York, New York, commencing October 21, 2014, 10:10 a.m., on the above date, before Amanda McCredo, a Court Reporter and Notary Public in the State of New York			D	efen	ıdant	s.		
pursuant to Notice, was held at the Law Offices of SCHLAM STONE & DOLAN, LLP, 26 Broadway, New York, New York, commencing October 21, 2014, 10:10 a.m., on the above date, before Amanda McCredo, a Court Reporter and Notary Public in the State of New York								-x
SCHLAM STONE & DOLAN, LLP, 26 Broadway, New York, New York, commencing October 21, 2014, 10:10 a.m., on the above date, before Amanda McCredo, a Court Reporter and Notary Public in the State of New York		Oral de	eposition	n of	JIMM	IY ZUE	HL, ta	aken
New York, commencing October 21, 2014, 10:10 a.m., on the above date, before Amanda McCredo, a Court Reporter and Notary Public in the State of New York	pursuant	to Notic	e, was h	eld	at t	he La	w Off	ices of
on the above date, before Amanda McCredo, a Court Reporter and Notary Public in the State of New York	SCHLAM ST	ONE & DO	LAN, LLP	, 26	Bro	adway	, New	York,
Reporter and Notary Public in the State of New York	New York,	commenc	ing Octo	ber	21,	2014,	10:1	0 a.m.,
	on the ab	ove date	e, before	. Ama	nda	McCre	do, a	Court
MAGNA LEGAL CEDITOEC	Reporter	and Nota	ry Publi	c in	the	Stat	e of 1	New York
MACNIA I ECAI CEDITOEC				-				
		M A CNTA	TECAT C	ידסקי	-CEC			

1200 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10026 (866) 624-6221



```
Page 2
1
 2
   APPEARANCES:
 3
   PARKER HANSKI, LLC
                  Attorneys for Plaintiff
 4
                  40 Worth Street, 10th Floor
                  New York, New York 10013
    BY: GLEN H. PARKER, ESQUIRE
 5
 6
7
     SCHLAM STONE & DOLAN, LLP
                  Attorneys for Defendant
8
                  597 BROADWAY DEVELOPMENT CORP.
                  26 Broadway, 19th Floor
9
                  New York, New York 10004
    BY: ELIZABETH WOLSTEIN, ESQUIRE
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```



	Page 14
1	J. Zuehl
2	experience?
3	A Yes, it does.
4	Q Except for the two community colleges?
5	A Correct.
6	Q Are you a registered architect?
7	A No, I am not.
8	Q Are you licensed to practice architecture
9	in New York?
10	A I am not.
11	Q Are you licensed to practice architecture
12	in any other state?
13	A I am not.
14	Q What is required to become a registered
15	architect in New York State?
16	A In New York State, you're required to have
17	completed the intern development program, which I
18	have done through NCARB, the National Council
19	Accredited Architecture, I believe it is. You're
20	required to pass seven tests, exams, which is what
21	I'm in the process of doing my first one being
22	next month and you are required to pay a fee
23	and provide an application to the State of New
24	York.
25	Q Have you ever, in the past, taken any of



	Page 15
1	J. Zuehl
2	the sections on the test to become a registered
3	architect?
4	A I have not.
5	Q Have you taken a test to become a licensed
6	architect in any other state?
7	A I have not.
8	Q Since you are not a registered architect,
9	you're not qualified to sign and seal drawings in
10	New York State; is that right?
11	A That is correct.
12	Q In New York State, the only architects who
13	can sign and seal drawings are registered
14	architects, correct?
15	A I believe engineers, as well, can sign
16	drawings.
17	Q Speaking of architects, though, to sign
18	and seal drawings in New York State, you have to
19	be a registered architect, correct?
20	A Yes.
21	Q You are not qualified to submit
22	architectural drawings to the New York City
23	Department of Buildings, correct?
24	A I can submit architectural drawings,
25	agguming they are gigned and gtamped by a



	Page 16
1	J. Zuehl
2	registered architect.
3	Q You need another architect to be the
4	submitting architect of record for the New York
5	City Department of Buildings?
6	A Correct.
7	Q So, the application or any submission
8	would not be in your name; is that right?
9	A I believe so.
10	Q Meaning, you believe it would not be in
11	your name?
12	A Yes. I have to say, I believe, and I'm
13	not certain, however, because I have worked,
14	again, with engineers who have submitted drawings,
15	and the application can be under other people's
16	names as long as there's an architect of record or
17	engineer of record attached to that application.
18	Q Have you ever, as the architect of record,
19	submitted an application to the New York City
20	Department of Buildings?
21	A I have not.
22	Q Have you ever submitted an application to
23	the New York City Landmarks Preservation
24	Commission?
25	A I have not.



	Page 29
1	J. Zuehl
2	MR. PARKER: Exhibit 2 that you handed him
3	has the photos.
4	MS. WOLSTEIN: It has the photos, okay.
5	Q So Exhibit 2 is your complete report that
6	you submitted?
7	A That I submitted, correct, for Parker
8	Hanski.
9	Q And you made a site visit to the store, at
10	least the outside of the store, on July 10, 2014?
11	A That is correct.
12	Q And did you take some measurements when
13	you were there?
14	A I did.
15	Q And you measured that the steps are
16	approximately 15 and a half inches high, I'm
17	looking at page two, is that right, box three?
18	A Correct.
19	Q If you look at Sketch 1A on page four, do
20	you see that?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Did you prepare this drawing, Sketch 1A?
23	A Yes, I did.
24	Q This is a drawing of a ramp that you say
25	could be built outside the store providing access



Page 32 J. Zuehl 1 rectangular (indicating). 3 А Yes. 4 On the ramps on your design, how high off 5 the ground is this part (indicating)? It depends on where you take it. At the bottom of the ramp, it would be architectural 00. 7 At the top of the ramp, at the door, it would be 8 plus 15 inches. 10 Where does the ramp begin? That's why, 11 maybe, I'm a little confused. The ramp begins four feet beyond the 12 13 building. 14 0 Does the ramp begin where it says, "new ramp," or does it begin at the line that says 00? 15 16 The line that says new ramp is a dimension, and is not the ramp. The line that 17 18 says 00 is the ramp. 19 So that bottom rectangle is just a 20 dimension? 21 Α Correct. 22 So when you say the slope is 13 percent, 23 you're referring to the line 00 up to the door or 24 the entrance? 25 Α Correct.



	Page 33
1	J. Zuehl
2	Q The flare on the left side also extends
3	four feet out from the storefront?
4	A Flare on the left side, correct.
5	Q And that left side flare extends out in
6	front of the neighboring property?
7	A That is correct.
8	Q Where is the manhole cover on the street
9	in relation to your drawing?
10	A It's outside the confines of my drawing.
11	It's not showing because my drawing is only
12	showing
13	Q You could draw on there. Do you have a
14	pen? Are you able to draw where the manhole cover
15	is in relation to your drawing, on your drawing?
16	A Not really. I mean, this is an AutoCAD
17	drawing with its dimensions. And so, without
18	actually dimensioning I mean, I would
19	approximate again. But it wouldn't be accurate.
20	Q When you say "AutoCAD drawing," what do
21	you mean by that?
22	A AutoCAD is a computer device that allows
23	designers to accurately depict designs. Sorry,
24	I've never had to describe AutoCAD before. It's a
25	computer program that allows you to draft, and



Page 34 J. Zuehl 1 2 that's probably the better way to say it. Does the ramp in Sketch 1A have a level 3 4 platform or landing at the top of the ramp by the 5 door to the store? It does. Α 7 It does? Q 8 Α Yes. Where is that? 9 Q 10 That is inside the store, inside the door. Α 11 Inside? 12 Α Yes. 13 How about outside, is there a level Q platform? 14 15 That is why there is an automatic door, Α 16 because the door in the open position would allow you up the ramp and land inside the building. 17 Is there a level platform or landing at 18 Q the top of the ramp outside the building? 19 20 Α There is not. Does the ramp in Sketch 1A have handrails? 21 0 22 Α It does not. 23 And the door to the store opens outward, 0 24 correct? That is correct. 25 Α



	Page 38
1	J. Zuehl
2	property.
3	Q It extends 44 inches instead of 48 inches?
4	A That is correct.
5	Q Is there any other difference between the
6	ramp design in Appendix 2 and Sketch 1A?
7	A Other than the building is hatched in
8	Sketch 2 and is is hatched in Sketch 1A and is
9	not hatched in Sketch 2.
10	Q What do you mean by "hatched"?
11	A I'm just wanting to make sure I'm
12	answering honestly, but it's honestly just a
13	drafting difference in that the building area that
14	is demised as Billabong is hatched, is a darker
15	color. And that hatch has been removed in
16	Appendix 2.
17	Q That doesn't have anything to do with the
18	design, though, of the ramp, does it?
19	A It does not. You are correct in what you
20	are saying.
21	Q Would it be accurate to say the only
22	difference between Sketch 1A and Appendix 2 is
23	that, in Appendix 2, the ramp extends 44 inches
24	rather than 48 inches as in Sketch 1A?
25	A Correct for the degian of the ramp



	Page 39
1	J. Zuehl
2	Q And the flare ramp extends out 44 inches
3	on the right and on the left, yes?
4	A That is correct.
5	Q And the left flare extends out in front of
6	the neighboring store?
7	A That is correct.
8	Q And on Appendix 2, there is no level
9	platform or landing at the top of the ramp outside
10	the store, correct?
11	A That is correct.
12	Q The Appendix 2 ramp has no handrails,
13	correct?
14	A That is correct.
15	Q Would building this ramp require removal
16	of the steps to the store?
17	A Yes, it would.
18	Q And the slope here on Appendix 2 is shown
19	as 13 percent?
20	A That is correct.
21	Q How can the slope of a 44-inch ramp be the
22	same as the slope of a 48-inch ramp?
23	A Because, based on my experience in the
24	design of ramps, you have to build in a
25	construction tolerance because the contractors, if



Page 45 J. Zuehl 1 2 measurement recorded somewhere in your notes? 3 Α I do not. And turning to the next page, 593 4 5 Broadway. Α Okay. 7 Would it be accurate to say you also do not know the height of the entrance step at that 8 door front? That is correct. 10 Α 11 You don't have that written down 12 somewhere? I do not have that information written 13 Α 14 down. MS. WOLSTEIN: Why don't we take a short 15 16 break. 17 (Whereupon, a recess was taken.) 18 Q Would you agree that the ramp drawing in Appendix 2 does not comply with the ADA 2010 19 20 design standards? 21 I would agree, yes. 22 Let's go back to Exhibit 2, which was the 23 July 10th report. Go to page 3, please. could look at the last sentence to the first 24 paragraph, starting with the attached Sketch 2A 25



	Page 46
1	J. Zuehl
2	proposal.
3	Do you see that?
4	A The attached Sketch 1A?
5	Q Mine says 2A.
6	MR. PARKER: We're talking about the last
7	sentence on page 3?
8	MS. WOLSTEIN: No, the last sentence in
9	the first paragraph.
10	Q The attached Sketch 2A, do you see that
11	sentence?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Could you read it to yourself and tell me
14	when you're done.
15	A Okay.
16	Q What do you mean in that sentence when you
17	say, "Assumes that permission is granted to
18	utilize this area"? What do you mean by that
19	sentence?
20	A Meaning, that you applied for the
21	appropriate permits to use the space in the
22	sidewalk.
23	Q Your drawing in Sketch 2A assumes that all
24	the necessary permits are issued?
25	A Permits, waivers, variances, anything



	Page 47
1	J. Zuehl
2	that's necessary. Ultimately, permission is
3	granted.
4	Q From all the agencies that are required to
5	give permission?
6	A Correct.
7	Q Then can you look at page 5 of 5, Sketch
8	2A. Did you draw this drawing?
9	A I did.
10	Q And this depicts a proposed wheelchair
11	lift?
12	A Yes.
13	Q What are the dimensions of the lift?
14	A Well, this lift was generic dimensions
15	before I had contacted a lift manufacturer. So,
16	it's a lift that allows for the required 48 inches
17	by 30 inches of clear floor space in the lift
18	zone.
19	Q So, you're talking about the low position
20	shows some dimensions there?
21	A Correct.
22	Q And those dimensions are not of the lift,
23	but are of clear space?
24	A That is correct.
25	Q What does that mean exactly, "clear



		Page 51
	1	J. Zuehl
	2 Ç	Did you draw this Appendix 3 drawing?
	3 <i>P</i>	A I did.
	4 Ç	And does this proposed design also assume
	5 that	all the necessary permits from the City
	6 ager	ncies would be granted?
	7 <i>P</i>	A Of course, yes.
	8 Ç	How does this lift in Appendix 3 differ
	9 from	n the lift we were just looking at in Sketch
1	0 2A?	
1	1 7	A As I said, regarding Sketch 2A, this was a
1	2 very	early conceptual sketch. When I started
1	3 doir	ng Appendix 3, I reached out to a lift
1	4 manu	afacturer to get more requirements, something
1	5 that	usually the architect would do. But because
1	6 the	architect didn't seem to want to take that
1	7 next	course, I reached out to a lift manufacturer.
1	8 He r	recommended a specific lift. The Garaventa
1	9 Arti	ra that's noted there. Because he was able to
2	0 reco	ommend a certain lift, I was able to get an
2	1 actu	aal more detailed drawing of the lift size and
2	2 para	ameters. And so, this drawing is somewhat of
2	3 an e	evolution of the previous drawing with
2	4 addi	tional information.
2	5	These are not construction documents but



Page 52 J. Zuehl 1 2 they are getting closer to being more realistic. They show the lift rail attachment, as you had asked 3 4 about in the previous sketch, being floor mounted. 5 They also show the drive box, which was not showed before. 6 7 0 The drive box, where is that, and what is 8 it? That is the square that's just to the Α right of the door. 10 11 The solid line rectangle? The solid rectangle, correct, that the 12 Α rail seems to die into. 13 14 0 Okay. 15 I would like to clarify, still, while they are much more developed than the previous 16 conceptual sketches, that these are still 17 18 conceptual sketches. 19 The next step would be to detail these 20 further into -- the drive box can go anywhere. The 21 drive box can go in the building, the drive box can go outside the building, it can be at the bottom, it 22 23 can be at the top. The configuration of the rail 24 could be different. This just seemed to be a 25 proposal that gets a lot more to meeting the



Page 54 J. Zuehl 1 2 appropriate permits. 3 Who did you talk to at the lift company? 4 I don't know his last name, but his first 5 name is Dean. Which company was that, Handi-Lift? Handi-Lift, correct. 7 Α What did you talk to him about? 8 0 I explained to him that I had a building Α 10 with approximately 15, 15-and-a-half change of 11 elevation, what the constraints was, that there was an entrance door, there was standpipes, there 12 13 was obviously the right-of-way, the sidewalk. explained to him what my parameters were and 14 whether we could find a lift that could work 15 16 within those parameters. 17 There are numerous lift manufacturers out Some lifts, again, don't even meet the ADA 18 requirements because they don't have that clear 19 20 floor space. That's why it's important, at some 21 point, to reach out to a lift manufacturer to start 22 to develop the drawings more and provide that level 23 of detail. 24 So, you asked him to recommend a 25 particular model of lift based on what you



Page 60

1 J. Zuehl 2 And it might not necessarily have it can be done. 3 to be done the way those drawings show, but that it 4 can be done. 5 When you say, "that it can be done," you mean that it can be done physically, you're not talking about from a permitting point of view? 7 8 Α Correct, that it can be done physically. Do you expect any of the dimensions to be 0 10 different on the final drawings that come out of this exchange-of-drawings process? 11 12 However, there are dimensions that 13 are important to me, parameters I guess you could 14 say, that I would like to stay within. 15 dimensions, on this drawing, yes, could change. 16 Which do you think could change? 17 Any number of them. I think the easiest 18 way for me to answer that question is that I know 19 that there is a disinterest from having to go to 20 the Department of Transportation. So, I would 21 like to make sure that it stays within 44 inches. 22 So, that dimension I do not intend to change. 23 However, how far the lift is off the wall, 24 showing 18 inches, could be closer, could be farther



25

away.

Probably closer. The easiest way for me to

Page 61 1 J. Zuehl 2 answer the question is, yes, I envision the 3 dimensions changing. 4 What is the weight of this particular 0 5 model of lift in Appendix 3? I don't know the weight off the top of my head, but it is information that's available on 7 the website. 8 How is the railing attached in Appendix 3? Q 10 In Appendix 3, it's floor mounted. Α 11 How does that work? 12 Α There's usually a vertical post that is 13 attached, typically, with angles. That's my 14 answer. I guess I could get more technical, 15 but --Would it have to be mounted on the steps? 16 0 It could be. One of the conversations I 17 had with the lift manufacturer is, once we confirm 18 that this is the correct lift and the correct 19 20 design, whether or not those mounts would be on 21 the steps or if they would be on the actual 22 finished floor ground level below. Again, that's 23 part of further design development. 24 MS. WOLSTEIN: Would you mind if I look at 25 Exhibit 2?



Page 62 J. Zuehl 1 2 MR. PARKER: (Handing.) 3 0 I'm looking at page 1 of the images on Exhibit 2. I guess I don't understand how the 4 5 lift could be attached to the ground. Well, this is why I say there's further design development. That once the design and the 7 8 lift is chosen, we would, one, want to determine whether or not the stairs themselves could support the lift. And if not, what is underneath the 10 11 stairs, does the concrete extend beyond. 12 assuming there's a finished floor underneath 13 there. Again, that's part of further design 14 development. 15 So, it might end up being not a floor 16 mounted, but a wall mounted lift -- rail, I should 17 say, the rails. Is it possible that, at the end of the 18 process, the rails could be mounted on the building 19 20 rather than on the steps? 21 It's possible. Though, anything's 22 It's more likely, from the standpoint possible. 23 of construction, that it would be mounted on the 24 ground. But, yes, it is possible. 25 Q When you say "ground," do you mean on the



Page 64 1 J. Zuehl 2 ways, which means, again, nonruining of the steps. 3 Cameras, that you can actually stick a camera in 4 either a crevice, or is there is a crack in the 5 building. Or, if you have to, put a small hole so a camera could fit down there. Another way would be to look if there are 7 any building plans that would show the construction 8 9 of the steps, so we know. Maybe from the basement you can see the underside of the steps, so it could 10 be from simple observation. 11 But all that would have to be determined 12 13 in finalizing the design for the final lift? 14 Α Correct. 15 And so, today, as of today, you don't know 16 whether the stairs could support the lift with a floor-mounted rail? 17 18 Α Correct. One potential design that you would be 19 0 20 considering in your exchange of drawings, to 21 remove some material from the steps and mount the 22 rail underneath what's the top layer of the step, 23 at this point? I don't believe there would be an 24 Α intention to remove the steps, but it's possible 25



Page 65 J. Zuehl 1 2 you would have to penetrate the steps to find a structural member. 3 4 That would support the rail? 5 Α That would support the rail, correct. So, as of today, you don't know exactly where the rail would be mounted? 7 We know where the rail would be. However, 8 Α where exactly the mounting posts would go, we 10 don't know. Which that's some security that has 11 been given to me that, you know, the rail in general and the position of the lift and how it 12 13 gets from the ground up to the landing is fairly 14 clear. We may have to try and figure out where 15 the rail would attach. Ideally, floor mounted 16 from the lift manufacturer themself is what they recommend. But, again, there are other options. 17 You mean, building-mounted? 18 Building-mounted or floor-mounted. 19 20 Actually, again, penetrating the floor -- the landing, I'm sorry. 21 22 So, could you show me on your copy of 23 Appendix 3, could you just draw where the rail 24 goes -- is, just color it in, color it in blue, or just outline it or something. 25



Page 66 J. Zuehl 1 2 Α (Witness complies.) That's the rail. It sits in front of the 3 4 mounting brackets (indicating). 5 Q Those things sticking between the rail and the storefront are mounting brackets? Correct. 7 Α How do they work? 8 0 There's a piece of steel that usually Α attaches with angles to the rail itself, as well 10 as to whatever surface it's attaching to. 11 In this drawing, are these brackets 12 attached to the storefront? 13 In this drawing, no, they're attached to 14 Α 15 the floor. I should add that I'm not, again, a 16 structural lift expert. This is information I'm 17 relying on from the manufacturer. So, I actually don't think it's really appropriate for me to talk 18 about how it's attached. 19 20 Again, I can --21 0 You don't feel it's within your expertise to talk about the details of installing the lift? 22 23 Α Correct. How it would work? 24 0 25 Α Well, I can tell you, conceptually, how it



Page 67 J. Zuehl 1 2 would work, but the lift manufacturer is the one 3 that has to design the structure. I can propose something, and I can tell you how I think it 4 5 should go together, but the lift manufacturer will have their own recommendations as well. Well, you and your side of the case has 7 put forward a proposed drawing of a proposed lift. 8 Α Correct. 10 So I'm trying to understand, you know, 11 what it means and what it doesn't mean. So that's why I'm asking you these questions. 12 13 The mounting bracket in your drawing is 14 mounted to the top of the step; is that right? 15 Α There is one bracket on the top of the 16 step, and there is another bracket on the landing, 17 and another bracket on the ground. Do you know whether these brackets would 18 Q be sufficient to hold the weight? 19 20 Α I do not know. Of the rail? 21 22 Α No. Of the lift? 23 0 Those are the calculations that 24 Α No. 25 anyone would need to do with the lift



Page 78 J. Zuehl 1 2 Department, Mayor's Office, it can involve the 3 Department of Transportation. Even technical 4 affairs, it can involve the Commissioner. It's all 5 really one entity that wants to find a solution. 6 Well, if you didn't show the person the 7 drawings, what did you describe to him when asking him if you're missing something? 8 Off the top of my head, I don't know if I Α 10 can give you an exact description of what I spoke 11 about other than what I already said. generally speaking, in a situation where we have a 12 13 step up to multiple steps, two steps I believe I 14 said, up to a building entrance, you know, the 15 ramp doesn't seem feasible, yet I know that 16 there's these things in place that can allow you 17 to exceed those. Is there something, you know, do 18 you agree. Again, I don't even know if I'm paraphrasing because this conversation was a month 19 20 ago and I speak to many people about many things. 21 So, you asked the person's input without 22 showing any drawings? 23 Α Correct. 24 0 And I'll ask you again what office the



person was in, in the Department of Buildings?

25

Page 84 J. Zuehl 1 2 Without regard to whether the necessary 0 3 permits would be granted? 4 I guess you could say that. Generally Α 5 speaking, you know, any designer tries to think about other aspects with the Code and what might be triggered with respect to that. 7 8 Just like your own architects are focusing 9 on a ramp, some examiners say, "You need handrails." 10 From an accessibility standpoint, I do consider 11 myself an expert. So from an accessibility 12 standpoint, I think those would be final ramp 13 designs that would comply with the New York City Building Code and ADA, from an accessibility 14 15 standpoint. 16 0 Back to Appendix 3. I'm not sure I asked 17 The placement of the mounting brackets could conceivably change in the final, what you 18 consider the final, drawing of this lift; is that 19 20 right, is that what you said? 21 Α Yes. 22 Going back to page 4 of 4 on Exhibit 3? 23 Α Okay. 24 If you go down to 3A, "Alterations of Historic Material"? 25

